Investigation of Crouzeix's Conjecture via Variational Analysis and Nonsmooth Optimization

Michael L. Overton Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences New York University

Joint work with Anne Greenbaum, University of Washington and Adrian Lewis, Cornell

Limoges, 18 May 2015



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

1987: Our first correspondence and my first invitation to UW



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

1987: Our first correspondence and my first invitation to UW 1988: I visited UW for the summer and started a long collaboration with Jim Burke



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

1987: Our first correspondence and my first invitation to UW 1988: I visited UW for the summer and started a long collaboration with Jim Burke

1990s: wonderful decade both mathematically and recreationally



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

1987: Our first correspondence and my first invitation to UW 1988: I visited UW for the summer and started a long collaboration with Jim Burke

1990s: wonderful decade both mathematically and recreationally

 Many great hikes with Terry and Jim near Seattle and Vancouver



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

1987: Our first correspondence and my first invitation to UW 1988: I visited UW for the summer and started a long collaboration with Jim Burke

1990s: wonderful decade both mathematically and recreationally

- I Many great hikes with Terry and Jim near Seattle and Vancouver
- Cross country skiiing with Terry and others near Jasper: Terry's kindness and patience saved me from a potentially very bad situation



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

1987: Our first correspondence and my first invitation to UW 1988: I visited UW for the summer and started a long collaboration with Jim Burke

1990s: wonderful decade both mathematically and recreationally

- Many great hikes with Terry and Jim near Seattle and Vancouver
- Cross country skiiing with Terry and others near Jasper: Terry's kindness and patience saved me from a potentially very bad situation
- Jim, Adrian and I made much use of the Bible (Variational Analysis by RTR and RJBW) in our work on eigenvalue optimization



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

1987: Our first correspondence and my first invitation to UW 1988: I visited UW for the summer and started a long collaboration with Jim Burke

1990s: wonderful decade both mathematically and recreationally

- I Many great hikes with Terry and Jim near Seattle and Vancouver
- Cross country skiiing with Terry and others near Jasper: Terry's kindness and patience saved me from a potentially very bad situation
- Jim, Adrian and I made much use of the Bible (Variational Analysis by RTR and RJBW) in our work on eigenvalue optimization

Hard to believe Terry is 80!



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

1987: Our first correspondence and my first invitation to UW 1988: I visited UW for the summer and started a long collaboration with Jim Burke

1990s: wonderful decade both mathematically and recreationally

- I Many great hikes with Terry and Jim near Seattle and Vancouver
- Cross country skiiing with Terry and others near Jasper: Terry's kindness and patience saved me from a potentially very bad situation
- Jim, Adrian and I made much use of the Bible (Variational Analysis by RTR and RJBW) in our work on eigenvalue optimization

Hard to believe Terry is 80!

Just a matter of units: he may be 80 in Fahrenheit but in Celsius he is only 27!



Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's Conjecture Crouzeix's Theorem Special Cases The Extreme Points of the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points Variational Analysis

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

Crouzeix's Conjecture



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

The Field of Values

Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's Conjecture Crouzeix's Theorem Special Cases The Extreme Points of the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix

of the Cr Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

For $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, the field of values (or numerical range) of A is

$$W(A) = \{ v^* A v : v \in \mathbb{C}^n, \|v\|_2 = 1 \} \subset \mathbb{C}.$$



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

The Field of Values

Examples

Example, continued

Crouzeix's

Conjecture

Crouzeix's Theorem

Special Cases

The Extreme Points of the Field of

Values

Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

For $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, the field of values (or numerical range) of A is $W(A) = \{v^*Av : v \in \mathbb{C}^n, \|v\|_2 = 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}.$

Clearly

 $W(A) \supseteq \sigma(A)$

where σ denotes spectrum.



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

The Field of Values

Examples

Example, continued

Crouzeix's

Conjecture

Crouzeix's Theorem

Special Cases

The Extreme Points of the Field of

Values

Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

For $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, the field of values (or numerical range) of A is $W(A) = \{v^*Av : v \in \mathbb{C}^n, \|v\|_2 = 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}.$

Clearly

$$W(A) \supseteq \sigma(A)$$

where σ denotes spectrum.

If $AA^* = A^*A$, then

 $W(A) = \operatorname{conv} \sigma(A).$



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

The Field of Values

Examples

Example, continued

Crouzeix's

Conjecture

Crouzeix's Theorem

Special Cases

The Extreme Points of the Field of

Values

Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

For $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, the field of values (or numerical range) of A is $W(A) = \{v^*Av : v \in \mathbb{C}^n, \|v\|_2 = 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}.$

Clearly

 $W(A)\supseteq\sigma(A)$

where σ denotes spectrum.

If $AA^* = A^*A$, then

 $W(A) = \operatorname{conv} \sigma(A).$

Toeplitz-Haussdorf Theorem: W(A) is convex for all $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$.



Examples

Let

Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

The Field of Values

Examples

Example, continued

Crouzeix's

Conjecture

Crouzeix's Theorem

Special Cases

The Extreme Points

of the Field of

Values

Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \quad W(J) \text{ is a disk of radius } 0.5$$

5 / 33



Examples

Let

Terry Rockafellar	
Crouzeix's Conjecture	
The Field of Values	
Examples	
Example, continued	
Crouzeix's Conjecture	
Crouzeix's Conjecture Crouzeix's Theorem	

of the Field of

Values

Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : W$$
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} : W$$

W(J) is a disk of radius 0.5

W(B) is an "elliptical disk"



Examples



Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \quad W(J) \text{ is a disk of radius } 0.5$$
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} : \quad W(B) \text{ is an "elliptical disk"}$$
$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 5+i & 0 \\ 0 & 5-i \end{bmatrix} : \quad W(D) \text{ is a line segment}$$



of the Crouzeix

Concluding Remarks

Ratio

Examples



 $J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \quad W(J) \text{ is a disk of radius } 0.5$ $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} : \quad W(B) \text{ is an "elliptical disk"}$ $D = \begin{bmatrix} 5+i & 0 \\ 0 & 5-i \end{bmatrix} : \quad W(D) \text{ is a line segment}$

 $A = \operatorname{diag}(J, B, D): \quad W(A) = \operatorname{conv}\left(W(J), W(B), W(D)\right)$



Crouzeix's

Conjecture

Examples

Crouzeix's

Conjecture

Special Cases

of the Field of

Values

Points

Ratio

Ratio

The Field of Values

Example, continued

Crouzeix's Theorem

The Extreme Points

Johnson's Algorithm

Finds the Extreme

Variational Analysis

of the Crouzeix

of the Crouzeix

Concluding Remarks

Nonsmooth Optimization

Example, continued



Terry Rockafellar



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Let p = p(z) be a polynomial and let A be a square matrix.

Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's Conjecture Crouzeix's Theorem Special Cases The Extreme Points of the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Concluding Remarks



Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples

Example, continued

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Crouzeix's Theorem

- Special Cases
- The Extreme Points
- of the Field of
- Values
- Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points
- Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Let p = p(z) be a polynomial and let A be a square matrix.

M. Crouzeix conjectured in "Bounds for analytical functions of matrices", *Int. Eq. Oper. Theory 48* (2004), that for *all* p and A,

 $||p(A)||_2 \le 2 ||p||_{W(A)}.$



Crouzeix's

Conjecture

The Field of Values

Examples

Example, continued

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Crouzeix's Theorem

Special Cases The Extreme Points of the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Points

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Let p = p(z) be a polynomial and let A be a square matrix. M. Crouzeix conjectured in "Bounds for analytical functions of

matrices", Int. Eq. Oper. Theory 48 (2004), that for all p and A,

 $||p(A)||_2 \le 2 ||p||_{W(A)}.$

The left-hand side is the 2-norm (spectral norm, maximum singular value) of the matrix p(A).



- Crouzeix's
- Conjecture
- The Field of Values
- Examples
- Example, continued
- Crouzeix's Conjecture
- Crouzeix's Theorem Special Cases The Extreme Points of the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm
- Finds the Extreme Points
- Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio
- Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Let p = p(z) be a polynomial and let A be a square matrix. M. Crouzeix conjectured in "Bounds for analytical functions of

matrices", Int. Eq. Oper. Theory 48 (2004), that for all p and A,

 $||p(A)||_2 \le 2 ||p||_{W(A)}.$

The left-hand side is the 2-norm (spectral norm, maximum singular value) of the matrix p(A).

The norm on the right-hand side is the maximum of |p(z)|over $z \in W(A)$. By the maximum modulus principle, this must be attained on $\operatorname{bd} W(A)$, the boundary of W(A).



- Crouzeix's Conjecture
- The Field of Values
- Examples
- Example, continued
- Crouzeix's Conjecture
- Crouzeix's Theorem Special Cases The Extreme Points of the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points
- Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio
- Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Let p = p(z) be a polynomial and let A be a square matrix. M. Crouzeix conjectured in "Bounds for analytical functions of matrices", *Int. Eq. Oper. Theory 48* (2004), that for *all* p and A,

 $||p(A)||_2 \le 2 ||p||_{W(A)}.$

The left-hand side is the 2-norm (spectral norm, maximum singular value) of the matrix p(A).

The norm on the right-hand side is the maximum of |p(z)|over $z \in W(A)$. By the maximum modulus principle, this must be attained on $\operatorname{bd} W(A)$, the boundary of W(A).

If $p = \chi(A)$, the characteristic polynomial (or minimal polynomial) of A, then $||p(A)||_2 = 0$ by Cayley-Hamilton, but $||p||_{W(A)} = 0$ only if $A = \lambda I$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, so that $W(A) = \{\lambda\}$.



- Crouzeix's Conjecture
- The Field of Values
- Examples
- Example, continued
- Crouzeix's Conjecture
- Crouzeix's Theorem Special Cases The Extreme Points of the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points
- Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio
- Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Let p = p(z) be a polynomial and let A be a square matrix. M. Crouzeix conjectured in "Bounds for analytical functions of

matrices", Int. Eq. Oper. Theory 48 (2004), that for all p and A,

 $||p(A)||_2 \le 2 ||p||_{W(A)}.$

The left-hand side is the 2-norm (spectral norm, maximum singular value) of the matrix p(A).

The norm on the right-hand side is the maximum of |p(z)|over $z \in W(A)$. By the maximum modulus principle, this must be attained on $\operatorname{bd} W(A)$, the boundary of W(A).

If $p = \chi(A)$, the characteristic polynomial (or minimal polynomial) of A, then $||p(A)||_2 = 0$ by Cayley-Hamilton, but $||p||_{W(A)} = 0$ only if $A = \lambda I$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, so that $W(A) = \{\lambda\}$. If p(z) = z and A is a 2×2 Jordan block with 0 on the diagonal, then $||p(A)||_2 = 1$ and W(A) is a disk centered at 0 with radius 0.5, so the left and right-hand sides are equal.



Crouzeix's Theorem

Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's

Conjecture

Crouzeix's Theorem

Special Cases The Extreme Points of the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

$||p(A)||_2 \le 11.08 \, ||p||_{W(A)}$

i.e., the conjecture is true if we replace 2 by 11.08.



Crouzeix's Theorem

Terry Rockafellar

- Crouzeix's Conjecture
- The Field of Values
- Examples
- Example, continued
- Crouzeix's
- Conjecture
- Crouzeix's Theorem
- Special Cases The Extreme Points of the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points
- Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio
- Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

$\|p(A)\|_2 \le 11.08 \, \|p\|_{W(A)}$

i.e., the conjecture is true if we replace 2 by 11.08.

"The estimate 11.08 is not optimal. There is no doubt that refinements are possible which would decrease this bound. We are convinced that our estimate is very pessimistic, but to improve it drastically (recall that our conjecture is that 11.08 can be replaced by 2), it is clear that we have to find a completely different method."

- Michel Crouzeix, "Numerical range and functional calculus in Hilbert space", *J. Funct. Anal.* 244 (2007).



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples

Example, continued

Crouzeix's

Conjecture

Crouzeix's Theorem

Special Cases

The Extreme Points of the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's

Conjecture

Crouzeix's Theorem

Special Cases

The Extreme Points of the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

The conjecture is known to hold for certain restricted classes of polynomials p of degree m or matrices $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$:

• $p(z) = z^m$ (from power inequality, Berger and Pearcy, 1966)



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values

Examples

Example, continued Crouzeix's

Conjecture

Crouzeix's Theorem

Special Cases

The Extreme Points of the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

The conjecture is known to hold for certain restricted classes of polynomials p of degree m or matrices $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$:

 $p(z) = z^m$ (from power inequality, Berger and Pearcy, 1966)
 n = 2 (Crouzeix, 2004)



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's

Conjecture

The Field of Values

 $\mathsf{Examples}$

Example, continued

Crouzeix's

Conjecture

Crouzeix's Theorem

Special Cases

The Extreme Points of the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

The conjecture is known to hold for certain restricted classes of polynomials p of degree m or matrices $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$:

 $p(z) = z^m$ (from power inequality, Berger and Pearcy, 1966)
 n = 2 (Crouzeix, 2004)

■ W(A) is a disk (Badea, 2004, based on von Neumann's inequality, 1951 and Okubo and Ando, 1975)



Terry Rockafellar

- Crouzeix's
- Conjecture
- The Field of Values
- Examples
- Example, continued
- Crouzeix's
- Conjecture
- Crouzeix's Theorem
- Special Cases
- The Extreme Points of the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points
- Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio
- Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

- $p(z) = z^m$ (from power inequality, Berger and Pearcy, 1966)
 n = 2 (Crouzeix, 2004)
- W(A) is a disk (Badea, 2004, based on von Neumann's inequality, 1951 and Okubo and Ando, 1975)

•
$$n = 3$$
 and $A^3 = 0$ (Crouzeix, 2013)



Terry Rockafellar

- Crouzeix's Conjecture
- The Field of Values
- Examples
- Example, continued
- Crouzeix's
- Conjecture
- Crouzeix's Theorem

Special Cases

- The Extreme Points of the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points
- Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio
- Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

- $p(z) = z^m$ (from power inequality, Berger and Pearcy, 1966)
 n = 2 (Crouzeix, 2004)
- W(A) is a disk (Badea, 2004, based on von Neumann's inequality, 1951 and Okubo and Ando, 1975)
- n = 3 and $A^3 = 0$ (Crouzeix, 2013)
 - A is an upper Jordan block with a perturbation in the bottom left corner (Choi and Greenbaum, 2012) or any diagonal scaling of such A (Choi, 2013)



Terry Rockafellar

- Crouzeix's
- Conjecture
- The Field of Values
- Examples
- Example, continued
- Crouzeix's
- Conjecture
- Crouzeix's Theorem

Special Cases

- The Extreme Points of the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points
- Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio
- Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

- $p(z) = z^m$ (from power inequality, Berger and Pearcy, 1966)
 n = 2 (Crouzeix, 2004)
- W(A) is a disk (Badea, 2004, based on von Neumann's inequality, 1951 and Okubo and Ando, 1975)
- n = 3 and $A^3 = 0$ (Crouzeix, 2013)
 - A is an upper Jordan block with a perturbation in the bottom left corner (Choi and Greenbaum, 2012) or any diagonal scaling of such A (Choi, 2013)
- A is diagonalizable with an eigenvector matrix having condition number less than or equal to 2 (easy)



Terry Rockafellar

- Crouzeix's
- Conjecture
- The Field of Values
- Examples
- Example, continued
- Crouzeix's
- Conjecture
- Crouzeix's Theorem
- Special Cases
- The Extreme Points of the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points
- Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio
- Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

- $p(z) = z^m$ (from power inequality, Berger and Pearcy, 1966)
 n = 2 (Crouzeix, 2004)
- W(A) is a disk (Badea, 2004, based on von Neumann's inequality, 1951 and Okubo and Ando, 1975)
- n = 3 and $A^3 = 0$ (Crouzeix, 2013)
 - A is an upper Jordan block with a perturbation in the bottom left corner (Choi and Greenbaum, 2012) or any diagonal scaling of such A (Choi, 2013)
- A is diagonalizable with an eigenvector matrix having condition number less than or equal to 2 (easy)
 - $AA^* = A^*A$ (then the constant 2 can be improved to 1).


Terry Rockafellar Crouzeix's Conjecture

The Field of Values

Examples

Example, continued

Crouzeix's

Conjecture

Crouzeix's Theorem

Special Cases

The Extreme Points of the Field of Values

Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values

Examples

Example, continued

Crouzeix's

Conjecture

Crouzeix's Theorem

Special Cases

The Extreme Points of the Field of Values

Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

Based on R. Kippenhahn (1951), C.R. Johnson (1978) observed that the extreme points of W(A) can be characterized as

ext
$$W(A) = \{z_{\theta} = v_{\theta}^* A v_{\theta} : \theta \in [0, 2\pi)\}$$

where v_{θ} is a normalized eigenvector corresponding to the largest eigenvalue of the Hermitian matrix

$$H_{\theta} = \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{i\theta} A + e^{-i\theta} A^* \right).$$



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

The Field of Values

Examples

Example, continued

Crouzeix's

Conjecture

Crouzeix's Theorem

Special Cases

The Extreme Points of the Field of Values

Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

Based on R. Kippenhahn (1951), C.R. Johnson (1978) observed that the extreme points of W(A) can be characterized as

ext
$$W(A) = \{z_{\theta} = v_{\theta}^* A v_{\theta} : \theta \in [0, 2\pi)\}$$

where v_{θ} is a normalized eigenvector corresponding to the largest eigenvalue of the Hermitian matrix

$$H_{\theta} = \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{i\theta} A + e^{-i\theta} A^* \right).$$

The proof uses a separating hyperplane argument.



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's <u>Conjecture</u> The Field of Values Examples

Examples

Example, continued

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Conjecture

Crouzeix's Theorem

Special Cases

The Extreme Points of the Field of Values

Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

Based on R. Kippenhahn (1951), C.R. Johnson (1978) observed that the extreme points of W(A) can be characterized as

ext
$$W(A) = \{z_{\theta} = v_{\theta}^* A v_{\theta} : \theta \in [0, 2\pi)\}$$

where v_{θ} is a normalized eigenvector corresponding to the largest eigenvalue of the Hermitian matrix

$$H_{\theta} = \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{i\theta} A + e^{-i\theta} A^* \right).$$

The proof uses a separating hyperplane argument. Thus, we can compute as many extreme points as we like.

Continuing with the previous example...



Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points







Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in fSimplest Interesting Case (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of f Partly Smooth Functions Partial Smoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along V_2 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_2 Direction Varying (c, A)

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio



Define the Crouzeix ratio

Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio

The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in fSimplest Interesting Case (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of f Partly Smooth **Functions** Partial Smoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along V_2 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_2 Direction Varying (c, A)

 $f(p, A) = \frac{\|p\|_{W(A)}}{\|p(A)\|_2}.$



Define the Crouzeix ratio

Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio

The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in fSimplest Interesting Case (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of f Partly Smooth **Functions** Partial Smoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along V_2 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_2 Direction Varying (c, A)

 $f(p, A) = \frac{\|p\|_{W(A)}}{\|p(A)\|_2}.$

The conjecture states that f(p, A) is bounded below by 0.5 independently of the polynomial degree m and the matrix order n.



Define the Crouzeix ratio

Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio

The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in fSimplest Interesting Case (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of Partly Smooth Functions Partial Smoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along V_2 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_2 Direction

Varying (c, A)

 $f(p, A) = \frac{\|p\|_{W(A)}}{\|p(A)\|_2}.$

The conjecture states that f(p, A) is bounded below by 0.5 independently of the polynomial degree m and the matrix order n.

The Crouzeix ratio f is

• A mapping from pairs (p, A) to the reals.



Define the Crouzeix ratio

Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio

The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in fSimplest Interesting Case (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of Partly Smooth Functions Partial Smoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along V_2 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction Varying (c, A)

along U_2 Direction Varying (c, A) $f(p, A) = \frac{\|p\|_{W(A)}}{\|p(A)\|_2}.$

The conjecture states that f(p, A) is bounded below by 0.5 independently of the polynomial degree m and the matrix order n.

The Crouzeix ratio f is

- A mapping from pairs (p, A) to the reals.
- Not convex



Define the Crouzeix ratio

Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio

The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in fSimplest Interesting Case (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of Partly Smooth Functions Partial Smoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction Varying (c, A)

along V_2 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction Varying (c, A)

along U_2 Direction Varying (c, A) $f(p, A) = \frac{\|p\|_{W(A)}}{\|p(A)\|_2}.$

The conjecture states that f(p, A) is bounded below by 0.5 independently of the polynomial degree m and the matrix order n.

The Crouzeix ratio f is

- A mapping from pairs (p, A) to the reals.
- Not convex

• Not defined if p(A) = 0



Define the Crouzeix ratio

Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio

The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in fSimplest Interesting Case (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of Partly Smooth Functions Partial Smoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction Varying (c, A)

along V_2 Direction

Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction

Varying (c, A)

along U_2 Direction

Varying (c, A)

$f(p, A) = \frac{\|p\|_{W(A)}}{\|p(A)\|_2}.$

The conjecture states that f(p, A) is bounded below by 0.5 independently of the polynomial degree m and the matrix order n.

The Crouzeix ratio f is

- A mapping from pairs (p, A) to the reals.
- Not convex

• Not defined if p(A) = 0

Lipschitz continuous at all other points, but not necessarily differentiable



Define the Crouzeix ratio

Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio

The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in fSimplest Interesting Case (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of fPartly Smooth

Functions Partial Smoothness of the Crouzeix

Ratio Varying (c, A)

along V_1 Direction

Varying (c, A)along V_2 Direction

Varying (c, A)

along U_1 Direction

Varying (c, A)

along U_2 Direction

Varying (c, A)

 $f(p, A) = \frac{\|p\|_{W(A)}}{\|p(A)\|_2}.$

The conjecture states that f(p, A) is bounded below by 0.5 independently of the polynomial degree m and the matrix order n.

The Crouzeix ratio f is

- A mapping from pairs (p, A) to the reals.
- Not convex

• Not defined if p(A) = 0

- Lipschitz continuous at all other points, but not necessarily differentiable
- Semialgebraic



The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

"The chain rule on steroids".

Terry Rockafellar Crouzeix's

Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in fSimplest Interesting Case

 (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of fPartly Smooth Functions Partial Smoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction Varying (c, A)

along V_2 Direction

Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction

Varying (c, A)along U_2 Direction

Varying (c, A)



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in fSimplest Interesting Case (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of f Partly Smooth **Functions** Partial Smoothness

of the Crouzeix Ratio Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along V_2 Direction

Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction

Varying (c, A)

Varying (c, A)

along U_2 Direction

The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

"The chain rule on steroids".



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Three Possible

Sources of Nonsmoothness in fSimplest Interesting Case (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a

Nonsmooth Stationary Point of fPartly Smooth

Functions Partial Smoothness of the Crouzeix

Ratio Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction

Varying (c, A)along V_2 Direction

Varying (c, A)

along U_1 Direction

Varying (c, A)along U_2 Direction

Varying (c, A)

The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

"The chain rule on steroids".

For the numerator, combine:

• the gradient or subgradients of $\max(|\cdot|)$ (recall its argument is $p(z_{\theta}(A)) \in \mathbb{C}$)



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in fSimplest Interesting Case (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a

Nonsmooth Stationary Point of fPartly Smooth Functions

Partial Smoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio

Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction

Varying (c, A)along V_2 Direction

Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction

Varying (c, A)

along U_2 Direction

Varying (c, A)

The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

"The chain rule on steroids".

- the gradient or subgradients of $\max(|\cdot|)$ (recall its argument is $p(z_{\theta}(A)) \in \mathbb{C}$)
- the gradient of $p(z_{\theta}(A))$ w.r.t. the coefficients of p (easy)



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in fSimplest Interesting Case

 (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a Stationary Point of Partial Smoothness

Nonsmooth

Partly Smooth Functions of the Crouzeix Ratio Varying (c, A)

along V_1 Direction Varying (c, A)

along V_2 Direction

Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction

Varying (c, A)

along U_2 Direction

Varying (c, A)

The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

"The chain rule on steroids".

- the gradient or subgradients of $\max(|\cdot|)$ (recall its argument is $p(z_{\theta}(A)) \in \mathbb{C}$)
- the gradient of $p(z_{\theta}(A))$ w.r.t. the coefficients of p (easy)
- the gradient of $p(z_{\theta}(A))$ w.r.t. A: recall $z_{\theta} = v(H_{\theta})^* A v(H_{\theta})$



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in fSimplest Interesting Case (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a

Nonsmooth Stationary Point of fPartly Smooth Functions Partial Smoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along V_2 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction

Varying (c, A)

The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

"The chain rule on steroids".

- the gradient or subgradients of $\max(|\cdot|)$ (recall its argument is $p(z_{\theta}(A)) \in \mathbb{C}$)
- the gradient of $p(z_{\theta}(A))$ w.r.t. the coefficients of p (easy)
- the gradient of $p(z_{\theta}(A))$ w.r.t. A: recall $z_{\theta} = v(H_{\theta})^* A v(H_{\theta})$
- the gradient or subgradients of $v(H_{\theta})$, a normalized eigenvector for $\lambda_{\max}(H_{\theta})$, w.r.t. H_{θ} (gradient is "well known")



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in fSimplest Interesting Case (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a

Nonsmooth Stationary Point of fPartly Smooth Functions Partial Smoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along V_2 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction

Varying (c, A)

The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

"The chain rule on steroids".

- the gradient or subgradients of $\max(|\cdot|)$ (recall its argument is $p(z_{\theta}(A)) \in \mathbb{C}$)
- the gradient of $p(z_{\theta}(A))$ w.r.t. the coefficients of p (easy)
- the gradient of $p(z_{\theta}(A))$ w.r.t. A: recall $z_{\theta} = v(H_{\theta})^* A v(H_{\theta})$
- the gradient or subgradients of $v(H_{\theta})$, a normalized eigenvector for $\lambda_{\max}(H_{\theta})$, w.r.t. H_{θ} (gradient is "well known")
- the gradient of $H_{\theta} = \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{i\theta} A + e^{-i\theta} A^* \right)$ w.r.t. A (easy).



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in fSimplest Interesting Case (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a

Nonsmooth Stationary Point of fPartly Smooth Functions Partial Smoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along V_2 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction

Varying (c, A)

along U_2 Direction Varying (c, A)

The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

"The chain rule on steroids".

For the numerator, combine:

- the gradient or subgradients of $\max(|\cdot|)$ (recall its argument is $p(z_{\theta}(A)) \in \mathbb{C}$)
- the gradient of $p(z_{\theta}(A))$ w.r.t. the coefficients of p (easy)
- the gradient of $p(z_{\theta}(A))$ w.r.t. A: recall $z_{\theta} = v(H_{\theta})^* A v(H_{\theta})$
- the gradient or subgradients of $v(H_{\theta})$, a normalized eigenvector for $\lambda_{\max}(H_{\theta})$, w.r.t. H_{θ} (gradient is "well known")
- the gradient of $H_{\theta} = \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{i\theta} A + e^{-i\theta} A^* \right)$ w.r.t. A (easy).

For the denominator, combine:



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in fSimplest Interesting Case

 (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of fPartly Smooth

Functions Partial Smoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along V_2 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_2 Direction

Varying (c, A)

The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

"The chain rule on steroids".

For the numerator, combine:

- the gradient or subgradients of $\max(|\cdot|)$ (recall its argument is $p(z_{\theta}(A)) \in \mathbb{C}$)
- the gradient of $p(z_{\theta}(A))$ w.r.t. the coefficients of p (easy)
- the gradient of $p(z_{\theta}(A))$ w.r.t. A: recall $z_{\theta} = v(H_{\theta})^* A v(H_{\theta})$
- the gradient or subgradients of $v(H_{\theta})$, a normalized eigenvector for $\lambda_{\max}(H_{\theta})$, w.r.t. H_{θ} (gradient is "well known")
- the gradient of $H_{\theta} = \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{i\theta} A + e^{-i\theta} A^* \right)$ w.r.t. A (easy).

For the denominator, combine:

• the gradient or subgradients of the 2-norm (maximum singular value) of a matrix (well known)



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in fSimplest Interesting Case

 (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of fPartly Smooth

Functions Functions Partial Smoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along V_2 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction Varying (c, A)

along U_2 Direction Varying (c, A)

The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

"The chain rule on steroids".

For the numerator, combine:

- the gradient or subgradients of $\max(|\cdot|)$ (recall its argument is $p(z_{\theta}(A)) \in \mathbb{C}$)
- the gradient of $p(z_{\theta}(A))$ w.r.t. the coefficients of p (easy)
- the gradient of $p(z_{\theta}(A))$ w.r.t. A: recall $z_{\theta} = v(H_{\theta})^* A v(H_{\theta})$
- the gradient or subgradients of $v(H_{\theta})$, a normalized eigenvector for $\lambda_{\max}(H_{\theta})$, w.r.t. H_{θ} (gradient is "well known")
- the gradient of $H_{\theta} = \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{i\theta} A + e^{-i\theta} A^* \right)$ w.r.t. A (easy).

For the denominator, combine:

• the gradient or subgradients of the 2-norm (maximum singular value) of a matrix (well known)

• the gradient of the matrix polynomial p(A) w.r.t. A (involves differentiating monomial terms A^k w.r.t. A, resulting in Kronecker products).



Terry Rockafellar Crouzeix's Conjecture Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in fSimplest Interesting Case (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of f Partly Smooth **Functions** Partial Smoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along V_2 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_2 Direction Varying (c, A)

15 / 33



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in fSimplest Interesting Case (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of f Partly Smooth **Functions** Partial Smoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along V_2 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_2 Direction

Varying (c, A)

Ties for the max value of |p(z)| on bd W(A) (the most important)



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in fSimplest Interesting Case (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of Partly Smooth Functions Partial Smoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along V_2 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_2 Direction Varying (c, A)

Ties for the max value of |p(z)| on bd W(A)(the most important) A multiple eigenvalue

$$\lambda_{\max}(H_{\theta}) = \lambda_{\max}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{i\theta}A + e^{-i\theta}A^*\right)\right).$$

This can be excluded by assuming that bd W(A) does not contain any line segment.



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in fSimplest Interesting Case (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of Partly Smooth Functions Partial Smoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio

Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction

Varying (c, A)along V_2 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction Varying (c, A)

along U_2 Direction Varying (c, A) Ties for the max value of |p(z)| on bd W(A)(the most important) A multiple eigenvalue

$$\lambda_{\max}(H_{\theta}) = \lambda_{\max}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{i\theta}A + e^{-i\theta}A^*\right)\right).$$

This can be excluded by assuming that bd W(A) does not contain any line segment.

A multiple singular value $\sigma_{\max}(p(A))$.



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in fSimplest Interesting Case (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a

Nonsmooth Stationary Point of f Partly Smooth **Functions** Partial Smoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along V_2 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_2 Direction Varying (c, A)

Simplest Interesting Case

Optimize over real monic linear polynomials $p(z) \equiv z + c$ and real matrices with order n = 2. Let $f(p, A) \equiv f(c, A)$, where now $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} \to \mathbb{R}$.



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in *f* Simplest Interesting Case

 (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of f Partly Smooth Functions Partial Smoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along V_2 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_2 Direction Varying (c, A)

Simplest Interesting Case

Optimize over real monic linear polynomials $p(z) \equiv z + c$ and real matrices with order n = 2. Let $f(p, A) \equiv f(c, A)$, where now $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} \to \mathbb{R}$. Let $\hat{c} = 0$ and $\hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, so $W(\hat{A}) = \mathcal{D}$, the unit disk, and hence $f(\hat{c}, \hat{A}) = 1/2$.



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in *f* Simplest Interesting Case

 (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of f Partly Smooth Functions Partial Smoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along V_2 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_2 Direction Varying (c, A)

Simplest Interesting Case

Optimize over real monic linear polynomials $p(z) \equiv z + c$ and real matrices with order n = 2. Let $f(p, A) \equiv f(c, A)$, where now $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} \to \mathbb{R}$. Let $\hat{c} = 0$ and $\hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, so $W(\hat{A}) = \mathcal{D}$, the unit disk, and

 $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{r}$ hence $f(\hat{c}, \hat{A}) = 1/2$. **Theorem** The Crouzeix ratio f is regular at (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) , with

$$\partial f(\hat{c}, \hat{A}) = \operatorname{conv}_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \cos(\theta), \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) & 0\\ \cos(2\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in *f* Simplest Interesting Case

 (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of f Partly Smooth Functions Partial Smoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along V_2 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_2 Direction Varying (c, A)

Simplest Interesting Case

Optimize over real monic linear polynomials $p(z) \equiv z + c$ and real matrices with order n = 2. Let $f(p, A) \equiv f(c, A)$, where now $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} \to \mathbb{R}$.

Let $\hat{c} = 0$ and $\hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, so $W(\hat{A}) = \mathcal{D}$, the unit disk, and hence $f(\hat{c}, \hat{A}) = 1/2$.

Theorem The Crouzeix ratio f is regular at (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) , with

$$\partial f(\hat{c}, \hat{A}) = \operatorname{conv}_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \cos(\theta), \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) & 0\\ \cos(2\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

Sketch of proof: we have $\operatorname{bd} W(\hat{A}) = \{ \hat{z}_{\theta} \equiv e^{i\theta} : \theta \in [0, 2\pi) \}$, with $|\hat{z}_{\theta} + \hat{c}| = 1$ for all θ .



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in *f* Simplest Interesting Case

 (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of f Partly Smooth Functions Partial Smoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along V_2 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_2 Direction Varying (c, A)

Simplest Interesting Case

Optimize over real monic linear polynomials $p(z) \equiv z + c$ and real matrices with order n = 2. Let $f(p, A) \equiv f(c, A)$, where now $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} \to \mathbb{R}$.

Let $\hat{c} = 0$ and $\hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, so $W(\hat{A}) = \mathcal{D}$, the unit disk, and hence $f(\hat{c}, \hat{A}) = 1/2$.

Theorem The Crouzeix ratio f is regular at (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) , with

$$\partial f(\hat{c}, \hat{A}) = \operatorname{conv}_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \cos(\theta), \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) & 0\\ \cos(2\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

Sketch of proof: we have $\operatorname{bd} W(\hat{A}) = \{\hat{z}_{\theta} \equiv e^{i\theta} : \theta \in [0, 2\pi)\}$, with $|\hat{z}_{\theta} + \hat{c}| = 1$ for all θ . The numerator of f is the max over $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ of $|z_{\theta}(A) + c|$, which are smooth at (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) , so it's regular and its subdifferential is the convex hull of the gradients of $|z_{\theta}(A) + c|$, which can be obtained by the ordinary chain rule.



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in *f* Simplest Interesting Case

 (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of Partly Smooth Functions Partial Smoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along V_2 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_2 Direction Varying (c, A)

Simplest Interesting Case

Optimize over real monic linear polynomials $p(z) \equiv z + c$ and real matrices with order n = 2. Let $f(p, A) \equiv f(c, A)$, where now $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} \to \mathbb{R}$.

Let $\hat{c} = 0$ and $\hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, so $W(\hat{A}) = \mathcal{D}$, the unit disk, and hence $f(\hat{c}, \hat{A}) = 1/2$.

Theorem The Crouzeix ratio f is regular at (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) , with

$$\partial f(\hat{c}, \hat{A}) = \operatorname{conv}_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \cos(\theta), \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) & 0\\ \cos(2\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

Sketch of proof: we have $\operatorname{bd} W(\hat{A}) = \{\hat{z}_{\theta} \equiv e^{i\theta} : \theta \in [0, 2\pi)\}$, with $|\hat{z}_{\theta} + \hat{c}| = 1$ for all θ . The numerator of f is the max over $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ of $|z_{\theta}(A) + c|$, which are smooth at (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) , so it's regular and its subdifferential is the convex hull of the gradients of $|z_{\theta}(A) + c|$, which can be obtained by the ordinary chain rule. The denominator is smooth at (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) as $\sigma_{\max}(\hat{A})$ is simple.



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in *f* Simplest Interesting Case

 (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of Partly Smooth Functions Partial Smoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along V_2 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_2 Direction Varying (c, A)

Simplest Interesting Case

Optimize over real monic linear polynomials $p(z) \equiv z + c$ and real matrices with order n = 2. Let $f(p, A) \equiv f(c, A)$, where now $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} \to \mathbb{R}$.

Let $\hat{c} = 0$ and $\hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, so $W(\hat{A}) = \mathcal{D}$, the unit disk, and hence $f(\hat{c}, \hat{A}) = 1/2$.

Theorem The Crouzeix ratio f is regular at (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) , with

$$\partial f(\hat{c}, \hat{A}) = \operatorname{conv}_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \cos(\theta), \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) & 0\\ \cos(2\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

Sketch of proof: we have $\operatorname{bd} W(\hat{A}) = \{\hat{z}_{\theta} \equiv e^{i\theta} : \theta \in [0, 2\pi)\}$, with $|\hat{z}_{\theta} + \hat{c}| = 1$ for all θ . The numerator of f is the max over $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ of $|z_{\theta}(A) + c|$, which are smooth at (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) , so it's regular and its subdifferential is the convex hull of the gradients of $|z_{\theta}(A) + c|$, which can be obtained by the ordinary chain rule. The denominator is smooth at (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) as $\sigma_{\max}(\hat{A})$ is simple. The result for the quotient follows from the basic chain rule of variational analysis (Thm 10.6, R&W).



(\hat{c},\hat{A}) is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of f

Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in *f* Simplest Interesting Case

 (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of f

Partly Smooth Functions Partial Smoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along V_2 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_2 Direction Varying (c, A) Corollary.

 $0\in \partial f(\hat{c},\hat{A})$



(\hat{c},\hat{A}) is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of f

Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in *f* Simplest Interesting Case

 (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of

Partly Smooth Functions Partial Smoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along V_2 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_2 Direction Varying (c, A)

Corollary.

$$0\in \partial f(\hat{c},\hat{A})$$

Proof: the vectors inside the convex hull defined by $\theta = 0, \pi/2, \pi$ and $3\pi/2$ sum to zero.


(\hat{c},\hat{A}) is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of f

Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in *f* Simplest Interesting Case

 (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of

Partly Smooth Functions Partial Smoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along V_2 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_2 Direction Varying (c, A)

Corollary.

$$0\in \partial f(\hat{c},\hat{A})$$

Proof: the vectors inside the convex hull defined by $\theta = 0, \pi/2, \pi$ and $3\pi/2$ sum to zero.

Actually, we knew this must be true as Crouzeix's conjecture is known to hold for n = 2, and hence (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a global minimizer of f, but we expect that this derivation can be extended to larger values of m, n, for which we don't know whether the conjecture holds.



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in fSimplest Interesting Case (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a

Nonsmooth Stationary Point of f

Partly Smooth Functions

Partial Smoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along V_2 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_2 Direction Varying (c, A)

Partly Smooth Functions

Recall from Lewis (2003) that a regular function $h : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$ is partly smooth at x w.r.t. a manifold \mathcal{M} containing x if



Recall from Lewis (2003) that a regular function $h : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$ is partly smooth at x w.r.t. a manifold \mathcal{M} containing x if

 \blacksquare its restriction to $\mathcal M$ is twice continuously differentiable near x

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in fSimplest Interesting Case (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a

Nonsmooth Stationary Point of f

Partly Smooth Functions



Recall from Lewis (2003) that a regular function $h : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$ is partly smooth at x w.r.t. a manifold \mathcal{M} containing x if

its restriction to \mathcal{M} is twice continuously differentiable near x
 the subdifferential ∂h is continuous on \mathcal{M} near x

Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in fSimplest Interesting Case (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a

Nonsmooth Stationary Point of f

Partly Smooth Functions



Recall from Lewis (2003) that a regular function $h : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$ is partly smooth at x w.r.t. a manifold \mathcal{M} containing x if

- \blacksquare its restriction to $\mathcal M$ is twice continuously differentiable near x
- \blacksquare the subdifferential ∂h is continuous on ${\mathcal M}$ near x
- the subspace parallel to the affine hull of $\partial h(x)$ is exactly the subspace normal to \mathcal{M} at x.

Crouzeix's Conjecture Variational Analysis

Terry Rockafellar

of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in fSimplest Interesting Case (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a

Nonsmooth Stationary Point of f

Partly Smooth Functions



Recall from Lewis (2003) that a regular function $h : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$ is partly smooth at x w.r.t. a manifold \mathcal{M} containing x if

- \blacksquare its restriction to $\mathcal M$ is twice continuously differentiable near x
- \blacksquare the subdifferential ∂h is continuous on ${\mathcal M}$ near x
- the subspace parallel to the affine hull of $\partial h(x)$ is exactly the subspace normal to \mathcal{M} at x.

We refer to this normal subspace as the *V*-space for h at x, and to its orthogonal complement, the subspace tangent to \mathcal{M} at x, as the *U*-space for h at x.

18 / 33

Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in fSimplest Interesting Case (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a

(c, A) is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of f

Partly Smooth Functions



Terry Rockafellar

Variational Analysis

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and

Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmoothness in fSimplest Interesting

Stationary Point of

Partial Smoothness

along U_2 Direction Varying (c, A)

Three Possible Sources of

of the Crouzeix

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Ratio

Case

 (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a

Nonsmooth

Partly Smooth

of the Crouzeix

Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along V_2 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction Varying (c, A)

Functions

Ratio

Partly Smooth Functions

Recall from Lewis (2003) that a regular function $h : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$ is partly smooth at x w.r.t. a manifold \mathcal{M} containing x if

- \blacksquare its restriction to $\mathcal M$ is twice continuously differentiable near x
- \blacksquare the subdifferential ∂h is continuous on ${\mathcal M}$ near x
- the subspace parallel to the affine hull of $\partial h(x)$ is exactly the subspace normal to \mathcal{M} at x.

We refer to this normal subspace as the *V*-space for h at x, and to its orthogonal complement, the subspace tangent to \mathcal{M} at x, as the *U*-space for h at x.

For $y \neq 0$ in the V-space, the mapping $t \mapsto h(x + ty)$ is necessarily nonsmooth at t = 0, while for $y \neq 0$ in the U-space, $t \mapsto h(x + ty)$ is differentiable at t = 0 as long as h is locally Lipschitz.



Recall from Lewis (2003) that a regular function $h : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$ is partly smooth at x w.r.t. a manifold \mathcal{M} containing x if

- \blacksquare its restriction to $\mathcal M$ is twice continuously differentiable near x
- \blacksquare the subdifferential ∂h is continuous on ${\mathcal M}$ near x
- the subspace parallel to the affine hull of $\partial h(x)$ is exactly the subspace normal to \mathcal{M} at x.

We refer to this normal subspace as the *V*-space for h at x, and to its orthogonal complement, the subspace tangent to \mathcal{M} at x, as the *U*-space for h at x.

For $y \neq 0$ in the V-space, the mapping $t \mapsto h(x + ty)$ is necessarily nonsmooth at t = 0, while for $y \neq 0$ in the U-space, $t \mapsto h(x + ty)$ is differentiable at t = 0 as long as h is locally Lipschitz.

When h is convex, this is consistent with the usage of V-space and U-space in Lemaréchal-Oustry-Sagastizábal (2000).

Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in fSimplest Interesting Case

 (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of f

Partly Smooth Functions



Let

Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in fSimplest Interesting Case (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of f Partly Smooth **Functions** Partial Smoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along V_2 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction Varying (c, A)

along U_2 Direction

 $\mathcal{M} = \left\{ (c \in \mathbb{R}, A \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}) : W(A) \text{ is a disk centered at } -c \right\}$

The Crouzeix ratio f is partly smooth at (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) w.r.t. \mathcal{M} .



Let

Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in fSimplest Interesting Case (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of Partly Smooth **Functions** Partial Smoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along V_2 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_2 Direction

Varying (c, A)

 $\mathcal{M} = \left\{ (c \in \mathbb{R}, A \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}) : W(A) \text{ is a disk centered at } -c \right\}$

The Crouzeix ratio f is partly smooth at (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) w.r.t. \mathcal{M} . In the example just given, the affine hull of $\partial f(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$ (the V-space) has dimension 2, with basis vectors

$$V_1 = \left(0, \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}\right]\right) \text{ and } V_2 = \left(2, \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right]\right).$$



Let

Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in fSimplest Interesting Case (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of Partly Smooth **Functions** Partial Smoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio

Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along V_2 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_2 Direction

Varying (c, A)

 $\mathcal{M} = \left\{ (c \in \mathbb{R}, A \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}) : W(A) \text{ is a disk centered at } -c \right\}$

The Crouzeix ratio f is partly smooth at (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) w.r.t. \mathcal{M} . In the example just given, the affine hull of $\partial f(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$ (the V-space) has dimension 2, with basis vectors

$$V_1 = \left(0, \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0\\ 1 & 0 \end{array}\right]\right) \text{ and } V_2 = \left(2, \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right]\right).$$

Its orthogonal complement, the U-space, is spanned by

$$U_1 = \left(0, \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right]\right), U_2 = \left(0, \left[\begin{array}{cc} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right]\right) \text{ and } U_3 = \left(-1, \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right]\right).$$



Let

Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

The Crouzeix Ratio The Gradient and Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Three Possible Sources of Nonsmoothness in fSimplest Interesting Case (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of fPartly Smooth Functions Partial Smoothness

of the Crouzeix Ratio

Varying (c, A)along V_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along V_2 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_1 Direction Varying (c, A)along U_2 Direction

Varying (c, A)

 $\mathcal{M} = \left\{ (c \in \mathbb{R}, A \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}) : W(A) \text{ is a disk centered at } -c \right\}$

The Crouzeix ratio f is partly smooth at (\hat{c}, \hat{A}) w.r.t. \mathcal{M} . In the example just given, the affine hull of $\partial f(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$ (the V-space) has dimension 2, with basis vectors

$$V_1 = \left(0, \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}\right]\right) \text{ and } V_2 = \left(2, \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right]\right).$$

Its orthogonal complement, the U-space, is spanned by

$$U_1 = \left(0, \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right]\right), U_2 = \left(0, \left[\begin{array}{cc} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right]\right) \text{ and } U_3 = \left(-1, \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right]\right).$$

Let's look at $W(\hat{A} + t\Delta A)$ and the Crouzeix ratio $f(\hat{c} + \Delta c, \hat{A} + \Delta A)$ for $t \in [-1, 1]$ where $(\Delta c, \Delta A)$ are given by V_1, V_2, U_1, U_2 and U_3 .









Varying (c, A) along V_2 Direction



Varying (c, A) along V_2 Direction



Varying (c, A) along U_1 Direction



Varying (c, A) along U_1 Direction



Varying (c, A) along U_2 Direction



Varying (c, A) along U_2 Direction



Varying (c, A) along U_3 Direction



Varying (c, A) along U_3 Direction





Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Computational Tools

```
Experiments
Optimizing over
both p (deg.
m \le 4) and A
(n = 5)
Best Solution
Found: f(p, A) =
0.500000002
For what (c, A) is
the Crouzeix ratio
f(c, A) = 0.5?
```

Concluding Remarks

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio



Computational Tools

Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Computational Tools

Experiments Optimizing over both p (deg. $m \le 4$) and A(n = 5)Best Solution Found: f(p, A) =0.500000002For what (c, A) is the Crouzeix ratio f(c, A) = 0.5?

Concluding Remarks

Chebfun (Trefethen et al, 2004-present), for efficiently interpolating the boundary of W(A) to machine precision accuracy using adaptive Chebyshev interpolation



Computational Tools

Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Computational Tools

Experiments Optimizing over both p (deg. $m \le 4$) and A(n = 5)Best Solution Found: f(p, A) =0.500000002For what (c, A) is the Crouzeix ratio f(c, A) = 0.5?

Concluding Remarks

Chebfun (Trefethen et al, 2004-present), for efficiently interpolating the boundary of W(A) to machine precision accuracy using adaptive Chebyshev interpolation

BFGS (Broyden, Fletcher, Goldfarb, Shanno 1970), a standard method for smooth optimization, which is also an extremely reliable and efficient method to find local minimizers of nonsmooth functions (Lewis-Overton, Math. Programming, 2013)



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Computational Tools

Experiments

Optimizing over both p (deg. $m \leq 4$) and A(n = 5)Best Solution Found: f(p, A) =0.500000002For what (c, A) is the Crouzeix ratio f(c, A) = 0.5?

Concluding Remarks

We have run many experiments searching for local minimizers of the Crouzeix ratio using BFGS.



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Computational Tools

Experiments

Optimizing over both p (deg. $m \leq 4$) and A(n = 5)Best Solution Found: f(p, A) =0.500000002For what (c, A) is the Crouzeix ratio f(c, A) = 0.5?

Concluding Remarks

Experiments

We have run many experiments searching for local minimizers of the Crouzeix ratio using BFGS.

Several scenarios:



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Computational Tools

Experiments

Optimizing over both p (deg. $m \leq 4$) and A(n = 5)Best Solution Found: f(p, A) =0.500000002For what (c, A) is the Crouzeix ratio f(c, A) = 0.5?

Concluding Remarks

We have run many experiments searching for local minimizers of the Crouzeix ratio using BFGS.

Several scenarios:

Fix p with degree m, optimize over A with fixed order n



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Computational Tools

Experiments

Optimizing over both p (deg. $m \le 4$) and A(n = 5)Best Solution Found: f(p, A) =0.500000002For what (c, A) is the Crouzeix ratio f(c, A) = 0.5?

Concluding Remarks

We have run many experiments searching for local minimizers of the Crouzeix ratio using BFGS.

Several scenarios:

Fix p with degree m, optimize over A with fixed order nFix A with order n, optimize over p with degree $\leq m$



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Computational Tools

Experiments

Optimizing over both p (deg. $m \le 4$) and A(n = 5)Best Solution Found: f(p, A) =0.500000002For what (c, A) is the Crouzeix ratio f(c, A) = 0.5?

Concluding Remarks

We have run many experiments searching for local minimizers of the Crouzeix ratio using BFGS.

Several scenarios:

Fix p with degree m, optimize over A with fixed order n

Fix A with order n, optimize over p with degree $\leq m$

1 Optimize over both p with degree $\leq m$ and A with order n

We'll report only the last.



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Computational Tools

Experiments

```
Optimizing over
both p (deg.
m \le 4) and A
(n = 5)
Best Solution
Found: f(p, A) =
0.500000002
For what (c, A) is
the Crouzeix ratio
f(c, A) = 0.5?
```

Concluding Remarks

We have run many experiments searching for local minimizers of the Crouzeix ratio using BFGS.

Several scenarios:

- Fix p with degree m, optimize over A with fixed order n
- Fix A with order n, optimize over p with degree $\leq m$
- Optimize over both p with degree $\leq m$ and A with order n

We'll report only the last.

We restrict p to have real coefficients and A to be real, in Hessenberg form (all but one superdiagonal is zero).



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Computational Tools

Experiments

Optimizing over both p (deg. $m \le 4$) and A(n = 5)Best Solution Found: f(p, A) =0.500000002For what (c, A) is the Crouzeix ratio f(c, A) = 0.5?

Concluding Remarks

We have run many experiments searching for local minimizers of the Crouzeix ratio using BFGS.

Several scenarios:

- Fix p with degree m, optimize over A with fixed order n
- Fix A with order n, optimize over p with degree $\leq m$
- Optimize over both p with degree $\leq m$ and A with order n

We'll report only the last.

We restrict p to have real coefficients and A to be real, in Hessenberg form (all but one superdiagonal is zero).

The next slide shows the *sorted* final values of the Crouzeix ratio after running BFGS for a maximum of 250 iterations from each of 100 randomly generated starting points.

Optimizing over both p (deg. $m \le 4$) and A (n = 5)



Optimizing over both p (deg. $m \le 4$) and A (n = 5)



Only locally optimal values found are 0.5 and 1



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Computational Tools

Experiments

Optimizing over

both p (deg. m < 4) and A

(n = 5)

Best Solution Found: f(p, A) =0.5000000002

For what (c, A) is the Crouzeix ratio

f(c, A) = 0.5?

Concluding Remarks

Best Solution Found: f(p, A) = 0.500000002

Best ratio found was 0.50000002, with

 $p(z) = -(8.3 \times 10^{-11})z^4 - (6.6 \times 10^{-7})z^3 + (1.7 \times 10^{-5})z^2 + 2.6z - 1.3z^{-10} + 2.6z - 1.3z^$

which is *nearly linear*, with only one moderate sized root: $\mu = 0.49426$, and with A having eigenvalues 0.492 and 0.497, with mean $\lambda = 0.49424$.



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Computational Tools Experiments Optimizing over both p (deg. $m \le 4$) and A(n = 5)Best Solution

Found: f(p, A) =0.5000000002 For what (c, A) is

the Crouzeix ratio f(c, A) = 0.5?

Concluding Remarks

Best Solution Found: f(p, A) = 0.500000002

Best ratio found was 0.50000002, with

 $p(z) = -(8.3 \times 10^{-11})z^4 - (6.6 \times 10^{-7})z^3 + (1.7 \times 10^{-5})z^2 + 2.6z - 1.3z^{-10} + 2.6z - 1.3z^$

which is *nearly linear*, with only one moderate sized root: $\mu = 0.49426$, and with A having eigenvalues 0.492 and 0.497, with mean $\lambda = 0.49424$.

Using the Generalized Null Space Decomposition¹ we find that

$$A - \lambda I = UDU^T + E$$

where U is unitary, $||E|| \approx 10^{-3}$, $D = \text{diag}(B_1, B_2)$, B_1 is a scalar multiple of a 2×2 Jordan block and $W(B_2) \subset W(B_1)$, so it fits the example discussed earlier, but with an extra "inactive" block B_2 .

¹Kublanovskaja 1965; Golub-Wilkinson 1976, Guglielmi-Overton-Stewart 2014


For what (c, A) is the Crouzeix ratio f(c, A) = 0.5?

Terry Rockafellar
Crouzeix's Conjecture
Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio
Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio
Computational Tools Experiments Optimizing over both p (deg. $m \le 4$) and A (n = 5) Best Solution Found: $f(p, A) =$ 0.500000002
For what (c, A) is the Crouzeix ratio f(c, A) = 0.5?

Concluding Remarks



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix

Ratio

Computational Tools

```
Experiments
```

```
Optimizing over
both p (deg.
m \leq 4) and A
(n = 5)
Best Solution
Found: f(p, A) =
0.500000002
For what (c, A) is
the Crouzeix ratio
f(c, A) = 0.5?
```

Concluding Remarks

For what (c, A) is the Crouzeix ratio f(c, A) = 0.5?

Independently, Crouzeix and Choi showed that the ratio 0.5 is attained if $p(z)=(z-\lambda)^m$ and A is the m+1 by m+1 matrix



for which W(A) is the unit disk.



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio **Computational Tools Experiments** Optimizing over both p (deg. m < 4) and A (n = 5)**Best Solution** Found: f(p, A) =0.500000002For what (c, A) is the Crouzeix ratio f(c, A) = 0.5?

Concluding Remarks

For what (c, A) is the Crouzeix ratio f(c, A) = 0.5?

Independently, Crouzeix and Choi showed that the ratio 0.5 is attained if $p(z)=(z-\lambda)^m$ and A is the m+1 by m+1 matrix



for which W(A) is the unit disk.

Based on our experiments, we conjecture that this is essentially the only case where 0.5 can be attained (we can change A by applying a unitary similarity transformation, multiplying by a scalar, and appending another diagonal block whose field of values is contained in that of the first block).



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

Summary Happy Birthday Terry

Concluding Remarks



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

Summary

Happy Birthday Terry Using variational analysis we have investigated the local behavior of the Crouzeix ratio in the simplest interesting case and we hope to be able to generalize this to higher degree and higher order.



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

Summary

Happy Birthday Terry Using variational analysis we have investigated the local behavior of the Crouzeix ratio in the simplest interesting case and we hope to be able to generalize this to higher degree and higher order. Using nonsmooth optimization, specifically BFGS, we have carried out a systematic numerical exploration of Crouzeix's conjecture.



conjecture.

Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks Summary

Happy Birthday Terry Using variational analysis we have investigated the local behavior of the Crouzeix ratio in the simplest interesting case and we hope to be able to generalize this to higher degree and higher order. Using nonsmooth optimization, specifically BFGS, we have carried out a systematic numerical exploration of Crouzeix's

Chebfun allows us to compute the Crouzeix ratio to nearly machine precision for small m and n.



Terry Rockafellar

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Variational Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks Summary

Happy Birthday Terry Using variational analysis we have investigated the local behavior of the Crouzeix ratio in the simplest interesting case and we hope to be able to generalize this to higher degree and higher order.

Using nonsmooth optimization, specifically BFGS, we have carried out a systematic numerical exploration of Crouzeix's conjecture.

Chebfun allows us to compute the Crouzeix ratio to nearly machine precision for small m and n.

The results strongly support Crouzeix's conjecture: the globally minimal value of the Crouzeix ratio f(p, A) is 0.5.



Happy Birthday Terry

Using Chebfun:



